

IJS-HRA - A Method for Human Reliability Analysis

Marko CEPIN

„Jožef Stefan“ Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
marko.cep@ijs.si

Abstract

The Human Reliability Analysis (HRA) is a systematic framework, which includes the process of evaluation of human performance and associated impacts on structures, systems and components for a complex facility. The objective of the paper is to present the IJS-HRA method and the results of an example study. IJS-HRA is a method, which is a method for evaluation of the human error probabilities of human actions within the probabilistic safety assessment of the nuclear power plants. It is developed based on integration of several important features of previously developed methods. The resulted human error probabilities, which are calculated with application of the method, are used in the example probabilistic safety assessment. A part of the obtained results are presented, which show that the contribution of human factor is still an important contributor to risk in spite of a wide automation, which took place in recent decades. In addition, the most important human failure events are identified, which are candidates for simulator training, which will consequently reduce their human error probability and contribute to improved safety.

Keywords: Human Reliability Analysis, Risk, Safety, Nuclear.

References:

- [1] ASME RA-S-2002 (2002), Standard for Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Nuclear Power Plant Applications, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- [2] Y.H.J. Chang & A. Mosleh (2007), Cognitive modeling and dynamic probabilistic simulation of operating crew response to complex system accidents: Part 1: Overview of the IDAC Model, Reliability Engineering and System Safety, Vol. 93, (11), pp. 1751-1760.
- [3] M. Cepin & X. He (2006), Development of a Method for Consideration of Dependence between Human Failure Events, ESREL2006.
- [4] M. Cepin & B. Mavko (1997), Probabilistic Safety Assessment Improves Surveillance Requirements in Technical Specifications, Reliability Engineering and Systems Safety, Vol. 56, pp. 69-77.
- [5] M. Cepin & B. Mavko (2002), A Dynamic Fault Tree. Reliability Engineering and System Safety, Vol. 75, No. 1, pp. 83-91.
- [6] M. Cepin (2002), Optimization of Safety Equipment Outages Improves Safety, Reliability Engineering and System Safety, Vol. 77, pp.71-80.
- [7] M. Cepin (2005a), Human Reliability Analysis – Methods and Applications. Problems and Solutions, Internal Report, IJS.
- [8] M. Cepin (2005b), Analysis of Truncation Limit in Probabilistic Safety Assessment, Reliability Engineering and System Safety, Vol. 87 (3), pp. 395-403.

- [9] M. Cepin (2008a), DEPEND-HRA – A method for consideration of dependency in human reliability analysis, *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, Vol. 93, no. 10, pp. 1452-1460.
- [10] M. Cepin (2008b), Importance of human contribution within the human reliability analysis (IJS-HRA), *Journal of Loss Prevention in Process Industries*, Vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 268-276.
- [11] M. Cepin (2008c), Comparison of methods for dependency determination between human failure events within human reliability analysis, *Science and technology of nuclear installations*, Vol. 2008, pp. 987165/1-987165/7.
- [12] J. Forester, D. Bley, S. Cooper, E. Lois, N. Siu, A. Kolaczowski & J. Wrethall (2004), Expert elicitation Approach for Performing ATHEANA Quantification, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, Vol. 83, pp. 207-220.
- [13] J. F. Grobbelaar, J. A. Julius & F. Rahn (2005), Analysis of De-pendent Human Failure Events Using the EPRI HRA Calculator, PSA05, Proceedings.
- [14] E. Hollnagel (1988), *Cognitive Reliability and Error Analysis Method, CREAM*, Elsevier Science Ltd.
- [15] G.A.L. Kennedy, C.E. Siemieniuch, M.A. Sinclair, B.A. Kirwan & W.H. Gibson (2007), Proposal for a Sustainable Framework Process for the Generation, Validation, and Application of Human Reliability Assessment within the Engineering Design Lifecycle, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, Vol. 92 (6), pp. 755-770.
- [16] A. Mosleh & Y.H. Chang (2004), Model-based Human Reliability Analysis: Prospects and Requirements, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, Vol. 83, pp. 241-253.
- [17] NUREG/CR-1278 (1983), *Handbook for Human Reliability Analysis with Emphasis on Nuclear Power Plants Application*, US NRC.
- [18] NUREG/CR-4772 (1987), *Accident Sequence Evaluation Program: Human Reliability Analysis Procedure*, US NRC.
- [19] NUREG/CR-6883 (2005), *The SPAR-H Human Reliability Analysis Method*, US NRC.
- [20] NUREG-1624 (1999), *Technical Basis and Implementation Guidelines for A Technique for Human Event Analysis (ATHEANA)*, US NRC.
- [21] NUREG-1792 (2005), *Good Practices for Implementing Human Reliability Analysis (HRA)*, US NRC.
- [22] A. Prošek & M. Cepin (2008), Success criteria time windows of operator actions using RELAP5/MOD3.3 within human reliability analysis, *Journal of Loss Prevention in Process Industries*, Vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 260-267.
- [23] B. Reer, V. N. Dang & S. Hirschberg (2004), The CESA Method and its Applications in a Plant-Specific Pilot Study on Errors of Commission, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, Vol. 83, pp.187-205.
- [24] SHARP (1984), *Systematic Human Action Reliability Procedure*, EPRI, NP-3583.
- [25] A. Spurgin (1990), Another view of the state of human reliability analysis (HRA), *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, Volume 29 (3), pp. 365-370.